


Economic Impact of Travel & Tourism - Traveler Spending

Rank	County	2001 Tourism Spending	Percent of State Total	Rank	County	2001 Tourism Spending	Percent of State Total
1	Salt Lake	\$2,013,500,000	48.5%	16	Box Elder	\$35,400,000	0.9%
2	Summit	\$332,900,000	8.0%	17	Sevier	\$33,900,000	0.8%
3	Utah	\$308,300,000	7.4%	18	Carbon	\$32,600,000	0.8%
4	Davis	\$277,600,000	6.7%	19	Duchesne	\$25,300,000	0.6%
5	Washington	\$229,800,000	5.5%	20	Beaver	\$23,100,000	0.6%
6	Weber	\$216,200,000	5.1%	21	Millard	\$23,000,000	0.6%
7	Grand	\$96,500,000	2.3%	22	Sanpete	\$21,300,000	0.5%
8	Iron	\$75,500,000	1.8%	23	Juab	\$18,200,000	0.4%
9	Cache	\$72,800,000	1.8%	24	Emery	\$13,600,000	0.3%
10	Garfield	\$50,800,000	1.2%	25	Wayne	\$12,800,000	0.3%
11	Wasatch	\$47,100,000	1.1%	26	Daggett	\$10,500,000	0.3%
12	Kane	\$44,900,000	1.1%	27	Rich	\$10,000,000	0.2%
13	Uintah	\$43,600,000	1.1%	28	Morgan	\$7,000,000	0.2%
14	Tooele	\$37,600,000	0.9%	29	Piute	\$2,000,000	0.1%
15	San Juan	\$37,400,000	0.9%				

Estimated Spending by Travelers 1996 to 2001

County	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	% Change 2000-2001	AAPC 1996-2001
Beaver	\$22,600,000	\$23,300,000	\$24,300,000	\$24,100,000	\$24,500,000	\$23,100,000	-5.7%	0.4%
Box Elder	\$32,900,000	\$35,200,000	\$37,200,000	\$38,800,000	\$37,900,000	\$35,400,000	-6.6%	1.5%
Cache	\$65,400,000	\$70,900,000	\$74,500,000	\$75,700,000	\$76,800,000	\$72,800,000	-5.2%	2.2%
Carbon	\$38,400,000	\$38,900,000	\$40,600,000	\$38,800,000	\$33,500,000	\$32,600,000	-2.7%	-3.2%
Daggett	\$10,300,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,500,000	\$11,000,000	\$11,700,000	\$10,500,000	-10.3%	0.4%
Davis	\$236,900,000	\$259,200,000	\$266,000,000	\$272,700,000	\$282,100,000	\$277,600,000	-1.6%	3.2%
Duchesne	\$24,000,000	\$25,200,000	\$25,900,000	\$25,800,000	\$26,300,000	\$25,300,000	-3.8%	1.1%
Emery	\$15,300,000	\$15,500,000	\$15,100,000	\$13,800,000	\$13,400,000	\$13,600,000	1.5%	-2.3%
Garfield	\$48,700,000	\$53,700,000	\$52,600,000	\$59,400,000	\$54,800,000	\$50,800,000	-7.3%	0.8%
Grand	\$95,400,000	\$100,900,000	\$98,700,000	\$101,300,000	\$99,200,000	\$96,500,000	-2.7%	0.2%
Iron	\$78,800,000	\$83,000,000	\$86,600,000	\$80,200,000	\$78,400,000	\$75,500,000	-3.7%	-0.9%
Juab	\$18,300,000	\$18,200,000	\$18,600,000	\$19,100,000	\$17,900,000	\$18,200,000	1.7%	-0.1%
Kane	\$51,700,000	\$54,500,000	\$55,700,000	\$49,600,000	\$48,000,000	\$44,900,000	-6.5%	-2.8%
Millard	\$22,900,000	\$23,000,000	\$24,300,000	\$24,800,000	\$23,800,000	\$23,000,000	-3.4%	0.1%
Morgan	\$5,700,000	\$6,600,000	\$6,500,000	\$6,800,000	\$6,800,000	\$7,000,000	2.9%	4.2%
Piute	\$1,000,000	\$1,100,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,700,000	\$1,800,000	\$2,000,000	11.1%	14.9%
Rich	\$9,000,000	\$8,800,000	\$9,300,000	\$9,800,000	\$10,100,000	\$10,000,000	-1.0%	2.1%
Salt Lake	\$1,854,900,000	\$1,934,500,000	\$1,981,100,000	\$2,038,100,000	\$2,060,800,000	\$2,013,500,000	-2.3%	1.7%
San Juan	\$43,400,000	\$43,900,000	\$44,800,000	\$45,700,000	\$43,100,000	\$37,400,000	-13.2%	-2.9%
Sanpete	\$22,700,000	\$24,800,000	\$24,200,000	\$23,500,000	\$24,200,000	\$21,300,000	-12.0%	-1.3%
Sevier	\$38,300,000	\$37,300,000	\$36,800,000	\$35,900,000	\$35,400,000	\$33,900,000	-4.2%	-2.4%
Summit	\$280,200,000	\$297,100,000	\$307,700,000	\$316,100,000	\$332,300,000	\$332,900,000	0.2%	3.5%
Tooele	\$32,100,000	\$32,800,000	\$33,300,000	\$36,100,000	\$38,300,000	\$37,600,000	-1.8%	3.2%
Uintah	\$39,200,000	\$41,900,000	\$43,800,000	\$45,000,000	\$45,700,000	\$43,600,000	-4.6%	2.2%
Utah	\$264,100,000	\$286,000,000	\$291,800,000	\$297,600,000	\$310,800,000	\$308,300,000	-0.8%	3.1%
Wasatch	\$38,900,000	\$40,700,000	\$44,500,000	\$47,900,000	\$49,200,000	\$47,100,000	-4.3%	3.9%
Washington	\$202,600,000	\$218,300,000	\$223,200,000	\$230,400,000	\$233,200,000	\$229,800,000	-1.5%	2.6%
Wayne	\$12,900,000	\$11,600,000	\$13,400,000	\$12,900,000	\$13,700,000	\$12,800,000	-6.6%	-0.2%
Weber	\$193,200,000	\$203,300,000	\$207,600,000	\$217,400,000	\$216,200,000	\$212,800,000	-1.6%	2.0%
State of Utah	\$3,800,000,000	\$4,000,000,000	\$4,100,000,000	\$4,200,000,000	\$4,250,000,000	\$4,150,000,000	-2.4%	1.8%

Economic Impact of Travel & Tourism - Traveler Spending



Estimates of traveler spending are determined by assuming that traveler spending and travel-related employment is related.

County distribution percentages are calculated by dividing the number of travel-related employees in a given county by the total aggregated sum of all county's travel-related employment. This percentage becomes the distribution ratio.

The distribution ratios for each county are multiplied by total statewide traveler spending to determine estimates for traveler spending in the respective counties. For example, in 2001, the distribution ratio for Davis County was 6.7%. Multiplying total statewide traveler spending (\$4,150 million) by the distribution ratio for Davis County (6.7%) produces the Davis County Traveler Spending estimate (approximately \$278 million).

See Appendix B for complete discussion of methodology.

